

**2. Select the counties proposed for your Coordinated Services Region. Utilize the Control or Shift keys to select multiple counties.\***

County
BLACK HAWK
TAMA

**3. Lead Planning Agency**

a. Lead Planning Agency Name:\*

b. Lead Planning Agency Address\*

Street Address:

City

State:

Zip Code:





c. Lead Planning Agency Primary Contact Person\*

Action	First Name	Last Name	Courtesy title	Phone	Email
	Mariliegh	Fisher		(319) 235-3000	marilieghf@chihousing.com

d. Lead Planning Agency Secondary Contact Person\*

Action	First Name	Last Name	Phone	Email	Courtesy title
	Melissa	Grandischnig	(319) 826-1374	melissag@chihousing.com	

**4. Lead Fiscal Agency**

a. Lead Fiscal Agency Name (may be same or different from Lead Planning Agency; note that Lead Fiscal Agency is required to submit Audit/Review and IRS Form 990 in Exhibits section):\*

b. Lead Fiscal Agency Address\*

Street Address:

City

State:

Zip Code:





c. Lead Fiscal Agency DUNS #:\*

d. Lead Fiscal Agency Primary Contact Person\*

Action	First Name	Last Name	Courtesy title	Phone	Email
	Mariliegh	Fisher		(319) 235-3000	marilieghf@chihousing.com

e. Lead Fiscal Agency Secondary Contact Person\*

Action	First Name	Last Name	Phone	Email	Courtesy title
	Mark	Vreeman	(712) 262-5640	markv@chihousing.com	

**5. Lead Data Coordination Agency**

a. Lead Data Coordination Agency Name (may be same or different from Lead Planning Agency and/or Lead Fiscal Agency):\*

Community Housing Initiatives, Inc.

b. Lead Data Coordination Agency Address\*

Street Address:

City

State:

Zip Code:

915 W. 4th Street

Waterloo

IA

50702

c. Lead Data Coordination Agency Primary Contact Person\*

Action	First Name	Last Name	Courtesy title	Phone	Email
	Mariliegh	Fisher		(319) 235-3000	marilieghf@chihousing.com

5d. Lead Data Coordination Agency Secondary Contact Person\*

Action	First Name	Last Name	Phone	Email	Courtesy title
	Melissa	Gradischnig	(515) 826-1374	melissag@chihousing.com	

## 6. Communication Liaisons

a. Designated Primary Communication Liaison to report to the Iowa Council on Homelessness (may or may not be member of above designated lead agencies)\*

Action	First Name	Last Name	Phone	Email	Courtesy title
	Mariliegh	Fisher	(319) 235-3000	marilieghf@chihousing.com	

b. Designated Alternate Communication Liaison (may or may not be member of above designated lead agencies)\*

Action	First Name	Last Name	Phone	Email	Courtesy title
	Carrie	Diesburg	(319) 559-0770	carrie@fofia.org	

## 7. If any of the roles designated above are for the same agency, provide a brief justification.

The roles designated above are for the same agency. At the present time, CHI and Friends of the Family are the only agencies required to do coordinated entry. Other agencies do not feel comfortable about being a lead agency in the process. The roles are expected to shift as more agencies are brought to the table and become more knowledgeable about the process.

## 8. Select any of the following current homeless services planning groups that are at least partially within the geography of your proposed Coordinated Services Region.\*

- ☒ Black Hawk County Local Homeless Coordinating Board
- ☐ Boone County Homelessness Prevention Board
- ☐ Buchanan County Homeless Coalition
- ☐ Cedar Valley Homeless Coalition
- ☐ Clinton/Jackson Coalition for the Homeless
- ☐ Dubuque Homeless Advisory Council
- ☐ Hamilton & Webster Counties PCC/CPPC
- ☐ Johnson County Homeless Coordinating Board

- ☐ Linn County Continuum of Care
- ☐ Mahaska Homelessness Coalition
- ☐ Marshall-Hardin Housing Coordinating Board
- ☐ Metro Area Continuum of Care for the Homeless (Council Bluffs)
- ☐ North-Central Iowa Local Homeless Coordinating Board
- ☐ Northeast Iowa Housing and Homeless Alliance
- ☐ Northern Iowa Central Homeless Task Force
- ☐ Polk County Continuum of Care Board
- ☐ Polk County Directors' Council or Service Council
- ☐ Quad Cities Shelter & Transitional Housing Council
- ☐ Siouxland Coalition to End Homelessness
- ☐ Southeast Iowa Local Homeless Coordinating Board
- ☐ Story County Homeless Board and Task Force
- ☐ Other

If "Other" is selected above, enter the name of this homeless services planning group and list the counties served.

**9. Explain if any of the proposed counties to be covered by your region are currently covered in 2017 by a Coordinated Services Region that was funded in Year 1 of the initiative. If so, explain the reasons for the change and how the current region and the proposed region have coordinated together to agree on the change.**

Neither of the proposed counties are included in a coordinated services region that was funded in year 1. Black Hawk and Tama counties are currently part of the Balance of State.

### Coordinated Services Region Development--15 points

**1. How was it determined which counties would be included in the proposed Coordinated Services Region? (2 points)\***

- ☐ One agency decided it all.
- ☐ Several agencies in one county made the decision together.
- ☒ Several agencies in all counties included worked together.
- ☒ Agencies in these counties have a history of coordinating together to provide homeless assistance services.
- ☐ Agencies in these counties have a history of coordinating together to provide other types of services.

**Score:**

of 2 points

---

**Scores for all reviewers**

---

**2. What are the Lead Planning Agency's qualifications for this role? (2 points)\***

- ☐ No relevant experience
- ☒ Experience as a convener related to homeless services
- ☐ Experience as a convener for other community services
- ☒ Positive relationships with other proposed service providers in the region
- ☒ Positive relationships with local governments
- ☒ Capacity to dedicate staff time to planning & coordination

**Score:** of 2 points

---

**Scores for all reviewers**

---

**3. What are the Lead Fiscal Agency's qualifications for this role? (2 points)\***

- ☐ No relevant experience
- ☒ Currently manages one or more federal grants, in good standing.
- ☒ Current manages one or more federal homelessness assistance grants, in good standing.
- ☒ Currently manages one or more state or local grants, in good standing.
- ☒ Has a history of dispensing funds to multiple agencies.
- ☒ Has staff capacity to manage federal funds, retain documentation of expenditures, and submit reimbursement requests.
- ☒ Has policies and procedures in place to meet federal records retention requirements.
- ☒ Financial management staff have specialized training and qualifications for managing funds.

**Score:** of 2 points

---

**Scores for all reviewers**

---

**3b. Verify that the Lead Fiscal Agency is a qualifying nonprofit or local government agency that has valid and current standing with the Iowa Secretary of State's office (check the Secretary of State website for a**

**Certificate of Standing that is current for this agency). By checking "yes" below, this represents certification that this has been verified.\***

- ☒ Yes to BOTH
- ☐ No to either--STOP! The agency is not eligible for this role.

**4. What are the Lead Data Coordination Agency's qualifications for this role? (2 points)\***

- ☐ No relevant experience
- ☒ Has staff already trained and certified to use ServicePoint HMIS
- ☒ Experience with HMIS reporting
- ☒ Experience with reporting other client data to state and federal sources
- ☒ Knowledgeable about differences in reporting requirements for domestic violence victim service agencies
- ☒ Knowledgeable about policies and procedures necessary to protect client confidentiality

**Score:**

of 2 points

---

**Scores for all reviewers**

---

**5. What are the designated individuals' qualifications for the roles of Primary and Alternate Designated Statewide Communication Liaisons? (2 points)\***

- ☐ Little or no experience participating in statewide planning meetings and events.
- ☒ History of participating in statewide planning meetings and events.
- ☒ Currently member(s) of the Iowa Council on Homelessness.
- ☒ Currently member(s) of one of the council's committees.
- ☒ Have attended the annual HUD Peer-to-Peer Homelessness Symposiums, the annual HousingIowa conference, and/or the Homelessness Awareness Day on the Hill.
- ☐ History of participating in statewide planning for other types of social services.

**Score:**

of 2 points

---

**Scores for all reviewers**

---

**6. Who has agreed to participate in planning and coordination meetings of the Coordinated Services Region? Fill in a table below that includes each individual's name, agency, county represented, subpopulation represented or area of expertise (such as DV, youth, veterans, substance abuse, employment, etc.), role in group, email, and phone. (5 points)\***

Action	Individual	Agency	County Represented	Subpopulation Represented, if any	Role in Group (if any)	Email	Phone #
	Mariliegh Fisher	Community Housing Initiatives	Black Hawk	Disabled Homeless		marilieghf@chihousing.com	(319) 235-3000
	Monica Paulsen	County Social Services	Black Hawk/Tama	Homeless/At Risk		MPaulsen@countysocialservices.org	(319) 292-2273
	Dave Mueterthies	Black Hawk Grundy Mental Health	Black Hawk	Homeless - General Population		Dave.Mueterthies@unitypoint.org	(319) 234-2893
	Regina Lambrecht	The Salvation Army	Black Hawk	Homeless - General Population		regina.lambrecht@usc.salvationarmy.org	(319) 235-9358
	Rachel Carter-Shadle	HACAP	Black Hawk	Homeless Veterans		RCARTER@HACAP.ORG	(319) 270-7160
	Carrie Diesburg	Friends of the Family	Black Hawk	DV/Sexual Assault/Human Trafficking Victims		carrie@fofia.org	(319) 559-0770
	Jammie Riffey	Tama County Case Management	Tama	General Population		jriffey@tamacounty.org	(641) 484-4191
	Debra Hodges-Harmon	Cedar Valley IowaWorks	Black Hawk & Tama	General Population		Debra.Hodges-Harmon@iwd.iowa.gov	(319) 235-2123
	Cassandra Kramer	Access	Tama	DV/Sexual Assault Victims		cassandra@assaultcarecenter.org	(515) 292-0500

Individual\*

Mariliegh Fisher

Agency\*

Community Housing Initiatives

County Represented\*

Black Hawk

Subpopulation Represented, if any

Disabled Homeless

Role in Group (if any)

Email\*

marilieghf@chihousing.com

Phone #\*

(319) 235-3000

Individual\*

Monica Paulsen

Agency\*

County Social Services

County Represented\*

Black Hawk/Tama

Subpopulation Represented, if any

Homeless/At Risk

Role in Group (if any)

Email\*

MPaulsen@countysocialservices.org

Phone #\*

(319) 292-2273

Individual\*

Dave Mueterthies

Agency\*

Black Hawk Grundy Mental Health

County Represented\*

Black Hawk

Subpopulation Represented, if any

Homeless - General Population

Role in Group (if any)

Email\*

Dave.Mueterthies@unitypoint.org

Phone #\*

(319) 234-2893

Individual\*

Regina Lambrecht

Agency\*

The Salvation Army

County Represented\*

Black Hawk

Subpopulation Represented, if any

Homeless - General Population

Role in Group (if any)

Email\*

regina.lambrecht@usc.salvationarmy.

Phone #\*

(319) 235-9358

Individual\*

Rachel Carter-Shadle

Agency\*

HACAP

County Represented\*

Black Hawk

Subpopulation Represented, if any

Homeless Veterans

Role in Group (if any)

Email\*

RCARTER@HACAP.ORG

Phone #\*

(319) 270-7160



Individual\*

Carrie Diesburg

Agency\*

Friends of the Family

County Represented\*

Black Hawk

Subpopulation Represented, if any

DV/Sexual Assault/Human Trafficking

Role in Group (if any)

Email\*

carrie@fofia.org

Phone #\*

(319) 559-0770

Individual\*

Jammie Riffey

Agency\*

Tama County Case Management

County Represented\*

Tama

Subpopulation Represented, if any

General Population

Role in Group (if any)

Email\*

jriffey@tamacounty.org

Phone #\*

(641) 484-4191

Individual\*

Debra Hodges-Harmon

Agency\*

Cedar Valley IowaWorks

County Represented\*

Black Hawk &amp; Tama

Subpopulation Represented, if any

General Population

Role in Group (if any)

Email\*

Debra.Hodges-Harmon@iwd.iowa.go

Phone #\*

(319) 235-2123

Individual\*

Cassandra Kramer

Agency\*

Access

County Represented\*

Tama

Subpopulation Represented, if any

DV/Sexual Assault Victims

Role in Group (if any)

Email\*

cassandra@assaultcarecenter.org

Phone #\*

(515) 292-0500

Score:

of 5 points

### Scores for all reviewers

---



---

**Scorer: 1 (0.00 of possible 15 points)**

---

### Coordinated Services Region Current Capacity & Goals--18 points

**Note on scoring: For all questions below regarding current capacity, responses are not scored. Please respond as candidly as possible. It's not expected that regions are already operating at full capacity in these areas; it is the purpose of the grant to develop and build on whatever capacity currently exists. For each question, only the second part is scored, regarding how the planning grant will be used in the region.**

**1a. What is the current capacity within the proposed Coordinated Services Region to coordinate services among nonprofit homeless providers, victim service providers, faith-based organizations, governments, businesses, advocates, public housing agencies, school districts, social service providers, mental health agencies, hospitals, universities, affordable housing developers, law enforcement, organizations that serve veterans, and homeless and formerly homeless individuals?\***

- ☐ No current capacity to coordinate homelessness services.
- ☐ Services coordinated among a few service providers and stakeholders in at least one county.
- ☐ Services coordinated broadly among all or almost all service providers and stakeholders in at least one county.
- ☒ Services coordinated among a few service providers and stakeholders in all counties proposed.
- ☐ Services coordinated broadly among all or almost all service providers and stakeholders throughout all counties proposed.

**1b. How will a Planning Grant allocation help the Coordinated Services Region to improve capacity and performance in this area? (3 points)\***

---

Currently, there are a few agencies that do work together in the two counties. As a planning body, we are in the process of bringing more agencies to the table to discuss the needs of the homeless and those at risk in both counties. We are working on a plan to grow as well as utilize the services that exist in the most efficient manner. A planning grant will allow us to cover some of the mileage costs and staff time for people to attend meetings in person. The grant will allow for some of the costs associated with the printing of information on available services and for the distribution of that information to other service providers such as churches, schools, etc.

---

**Score:**

of 3 points

---

### Scores for all reviewers

---

**2a. What is the current participation of the proposed Coordinated Services Region in Coordinated Entry throughout the region?\***

- ☐ No Coordinated Entry participation anywhere in the region.
- ☐ One or two agencies have attended training on Coordinated Entry, such as the VI-SPDAT or other topics.
- ☒ Several agencies are trained and ready to go for Coordinated Entry, and just awaiting further guidance on implementation.
- ☐ Agencies are already participating in some form of Coordinated Entry in at least some parts of the proposed region.
- ☒ Agencies in the region have been involved in statewide development and planning for Coordinated Entry.

**2b. How will a Planning Grant allocation help the Coordinated Services Region to improve capacity and performance in this area? (3 points)\***

Coordinated entry has been an ongoing conversation in Black Hawk County. The Black Hawk County Local Homeless Coordinating Board has reached out to Tama County service providers. They have agreed to work with us on the Coordinated Entry. The topic of training has been a concern for some of those attending. Agencies do not have the budget for costs that may be associated with training for the coordinated entry process. The planning grant would allow for the registration costs and mileage to be covered for those agencies to have staff attend necessary training.

**Score:**

of 3 points

**Scores for all reviewers**

**3a. What is the current level of data coordination and participation within the proposed Coordinated Services Region?\***

- ☐ No agencies participate in data collection or coordination.
- ☐ 100% HMIS bed coverage for all homeless services providers in the region (excludes DV agencies).
- ☒ Organized efforts are underway to either maintain 100% HMIS bed coverage or to increase it.
- ☒ Organized support is available within the region for agencies that struggle with full HMIS participation.
- ☒ Agencies within the region participate in annual training to stay up-to-date on the unsheltered Point-in-Time Count.
- ☒ An unsheltered Point-in-Time Count is conducted in some parts of the region.
- ☐ A thorough unsheltered Point-in-Time Count is conducted throughout the region.
- ☒ Organized efforts are in place to support data collection and coordination involving DV agencies.

**3b. How will a Planning Grant allocation help the Coordinated Services Region to improve capacity and performance in this area? (3 points)\***

The current data being entered into HMIS is limited. The Black Hawk County Local Homeless Coordinating Board has previously had the Institute on Community Alliance give two presentations on Servicepoint. The agencies that don't have to use it are reluctant to because of the time commitment they feel it would take. The planning grant would allow us to pay mileage to attend HMIS training for those

agencies to participant in data collection. Since not all shelters are willing to participate in entering data, the information will be collected by an outreach worker and submitted into Servicepoint at the time of collection. The planning grant will also allow for the purchase of three I Pads for use by outreach workers. The I Pads will also allow data to be entered during the Point in Time Count that will be held in January. Since we will also be doing the Point In Time Count in Tama County, the planning grant will allow us to pay mileage to individuals that are involved in that count.

---

**Score:** of 3 points

---

**Scores for all reviewers**

---

**4a. To what extent do agencies within the proposed Coordinated Services Region currently follow common standards and policies, such as the Iowa Quality Standards or other standards adopted by the Iowa Council on Homelessness?\***

- ☐ No knowledge of or adoption of common standards.
- ☒ One or more individuals or agencies in the region participated in developing Iowa Quality Standards.
- ☐ One or more agencies in the region have actively pursued adoption of Iowa Quality Standards.
- ☐ One or more agencies coordinate together to follow other common standards for the region.
- ☒ One or more agencies work together to ensure adherence to other standards implemented by the Iowa Council on Homelessness (example: chronic homeless prioritization standards).

**4b. How will a Planning Grant allocation help the Coordinated Services Region to improve capacity and performance in this area? (3 points)\***

All of the Iowa Quality Standards have not been adopted by agencies in Black Hawk or Tama Counties. Most of the agencies do have in place a majority of the standards. One area that agencies are not able to commit to is the standard on training. Agencies do not have the budget to acquire all of the suggested training. The planning grant will be able to help with some of the costs associated with the training.

---

**Score:** of 3 points

---

**Scores for all reviewers**

---

**5a. In the table that follows, describe the services that are currently available within the geography of your proposed Coordinated Services Region. Note that "General Population" refers to services that are not limited to specific groups, such as DV, mental illness, substance use disorder, veterans, etc.\***

Action	Service Provided	Provider Agencies	Description

	ES for Single Adult Males--General Population	The Salvation Army	Shelter for single men. Stay is limited to 21 days.
	RRH for Specific Population--DV	Friends of the Family	Short term rental assistance with support services.
	Street Outreach	Black Hawk Grundy Mental Health	Outreach to homeless individuals.
	Street Outreach	People's Community Health Clinic	Outreach to connect with homeless individuals.
	ES for Single Adult Females--General Population	The Catholic Worker House	Shelter stay is limited to 10 days.
	ES for Single Adult Females--General Population	The Salvation Army	Shelter for single women or women with children
	ES for Single Adult Males--General Population	The Catholic Worker House	Stay is limited to 10 days.
	RRH for Specific Population--DV	ACCESS	Services for DV/Sexual Assault Victims in Tama County

**5b. Based on the table above, what is the current capacity of the proposed Coordinated Services Region to provide Emergency Shelter and Rapid Rehousing to the general population of persons experiencing homelessness throughout the region (not just specific sub-populations such as DV, veterans, youth, etc.)?\***

- ☐ No Emergency Shelter available.
- ☒ Emergency Shelter available for some subpopulations only.
- ☒ Emergency Shelter available for the general population but only in certain counties.
- ☐ Emergency Shelter available to the general population throughout the proposed region but capacity is too low.
- ☐ Sufficient Emergency Shelter available to the general population throughout the proposed region.
- ☐ No Rapid Rehousing Available.
- ☒ Rapid Rehousing available for some subpopulations only.
- ☐ Rapid Rehousing available for the general population but only in certain counties.
- ☐ Rapid Rehousing available to the general population throughout the proposed region but capacity is too low.
- ☐ Sufficient Rapid Rehousing available to the general population throughout the proposed region.

**5c. How will a Planning Grant allocation help the Coordinated Services Region to improve capacity and performance in this area? (3 points)\***

The planning grant will bring together agencies to discuss issues in the counties around homelessness and at risk individuals. It will do this by allowing us to pay for costs associated with staff to attend meetings. The costs could be staff time and/or mileage. By working together on the Coordinated Entry process, we will examine what the needs are; what services are available; and how we can all work together to provide services in the most effective manner. The collaboration between counties may open up doors for funding opportunities in the future.

**Score:**

of 3 points

### Scores for all reviewers

#### 6a. What is the current participation of regional representatives in statewide planning and coordination?

- ☐ No current participation in statewide planning and coordination.
- ☒ One or more regional representatives are members of the Iowa Council on Homelessness.
- ☒ One or more regional representatives participate in one or more council committees.
- ☐ One or more regional representatives participate in the leadership of the council, such as with the Executive Committee or chairing/co-chairing another committee.
- ☒ One or more regional representatives have attended statewide training and events, such as the annual HUD Peer-to-Peer Homelessness Symposium, the HousingIowa conference, and/or the annual Homelessness
- ☐ Many regional representatives participate regularly in the meetings and events described above.

#### 6b. How will a Planning Grant allocation help the Coordinated Services Region to improve capacity and performance in this area? (3 points)\*

Agencies do not send their staff to the events such as the Peer-to-Peer Homelessness Symposium and the HousingIowa Conference because they lack the funding. A line item has been included in the planing grant application to cover the costs associated with training and mileage for events such as those listed above.

Score:

of 3 points

### Scores for all reviewers

#### 7. Describe specifically what support from statewide partners (Iowa Council on Homelessness, Iowa Finance Authority, Institute for Community Alliances, Hawkeye Area Community Action Program, Home Forward Iowa) would be most helpful for the region in the coming year.

The Black Hawk County Local Homeless Coordinating Board is familiar with the statewide partners. However, we are not sure exactly what support is going to be offered by HACAP. This would be helpful to know what they are able to assist with.

Scorer: 1 (0.00 of possible 18 points)

Budget--7 points

**Budget: 7 points**

**1. Provide the budget request in the following table. Note that funds are not intended to support direct services, but rather to support regional planning activities and development.**

Action	Activity	Description	Amount of Request
	Staff salary	Costs associated with attending meetings, training, etc.	\$5,000
	Training	Registration, lodging, and meal reimbursement to attend training.	\$5,000
	Supplies	Three I Pads and office supplies.	\$2,000
	Travel/transportation	Mileage @.535 per mile.	\$3,000
			<b>\$15,000</b>

**2. Provide a narrative explanation of the budget request. (5 points)\***

Staff costs will vary depending on who is requesting reimbursement. We would like to be able to offer some reimbursement for staff costs for those attending the meetings and trainings. (Some funding sources are very specific about what their funding can be used for.)

The training line item is so that individuals who are not able to attend a training or event such as the Peer to Peer Symposium because of budget constraints, will be able to do so. The line item will be able to assist with staff time, registration cost, lodging and meals.

The supply line item is for three I Pads and office supplies such as paper, ink, postage, etc. The I Pads would be used by outreach workers who are on the streets meeting with homeless individuals. The workers would be entering data directly into Servicepoint. They will also be used for the Point In Time count to enter data at the point of contact. The use of the I Pads will streamline the data entry process.

Travel/transportation line item is for mileage associated with attending meetings and trainings.

**Score:**

of 5 points

**Scores for all reviewers**

**3. What are the results of the uploaded Independent Audit or Certified Independent Review of Financial Statements included in the Exhibits section of this application? (2 points)\***

- ☐ The audit or review has multiple findings, with no evidence that any of the findings were addressed by management.
- ☐ Findings were identified in the audit or review, and the document includes a management response to the findings that was accepted by the CPA.
- ☒ No findings were identified in the audit or review, and the documentation provided clearly states this.

**Score:**



of 2 points
**Scores for all reviewers**
**Scorer: 1 (0.00 of possible 7 points)**
**Exhibits**

**Exhibits may be uploaded using the function at the bottom of this page. See the list below for documents to include.**

**1. AUDIT or Certified REVIEW of Financial Statements for the Lead Fiscal Agency (Required)**

The proposed Lead Fiscal Agency's most recent Independent AUDIT Report, including the management letter, or Certified REVIEW of Financial Statements, completed by an independent Certified Public Accountant, for the most recently completed fiscal year. To be considered timely and qualifying, Audits or Certified Reviews must have been completed within 10.5 months past the end of the fiscal year. NOTE: Compiled statements or Compilation Reports are NOT sufficient.

**2. Most recently filed IRS Form 990 for the Lead Fiscal Agency (Required if a Private Nonprofit Agency)**

The proposed Lead Fiscal Agency's most recently filed IRS Form 990. To be considered timely and qualifying, Form 990s must be filed no later than 10.5 months past the end of an organization's fiscal year. (If the proposed Lead Fiscal Agency is a unit of general purpose local government, Form 990s are not required).

**Upload Exhibits Here****Exhibits\***

Title	Document Type	Upload Date
<a href="#">FY 2016 Management Letter</a>	Audit or Review of Financial Statements	11/30/2017 11:55:00 AM
<a href="#">CHI Audit</a>	Audit or Review of Financial Statements	11/29/2017 12:08:00 PM
<a href="#">CHI 990</a>	Most Recently Filed IRS Form 990	11/29/2017 12:15:00 PM

Use the fields below to upload an exhibit.

Document Type:

Select a Document Type ▼

Title: